## STATE OF MICHIGAN COURT OF APPEALS

In the Matter of RANDY FLORES and TIMOTHY LEE FLORES, Minors.

FAMILY INDEPENDENCE AGENCY,

Petitioner-Appellee,

UNPUBLISHED December 8, 1998

V

ROSEMARY FLORES,

Respondent-Appellant,

and

ALEXANDRO DOE,

Respondent.

No. 208186 Wayne Juvenile Court LC No. 85-248213

Before: Sawyer, P.J., and Wahls and Hoekstra, JJ.

## MEMORANDUM.

Respondent-appellant appeals as of right from a juvenile court order terminating her parental rights to the minor children under MCL 712A.19b(3)(c)(i) and (g); MSA 27.3178(598.19b)(3)(c)(i) and (g). We affirm. This case is being decided without oral argument pursuant to MCR 7.214(E).

The juvenile court did not clearly err in finding that the statutory grounds for termination were established by clear and convincing evidence. MCR 5.974(I); *In re Miller*, 433 Mich 331; 445 NW2d 161 (1989). Further, respondent-appellant failed to show that termination of her parental rights was clearly not in the children's best interests. MCL 712A.19b(5); MSA 27.3178(598.19b)(5); *In re Hall-Smith*, 222 Mich App 470, 472-473; 564 NW2d 156 (1997). Also, the juvenile court did not abuse its discretion in denying respondent-appellant's request for an adjournment. *In re King*, 186

Mich App 458, 466; 465 NW2d 1 (1990). Thus, the juvenile court did not err in terminating respondent-appellant's parental rights to the children. *In re Hall-Smith*, *supra*.

Affirmed.

/s/ David H. Sawyer

/s/ Myron H. Wahls

/s/ Joel P. Hoekstra